Package: baymedr (via r-universe)

September 16, 2024

Title Computation of Bayes Factors for Common Biomedical Designs

Version 0.1.1.9000

Date 2022-09-01

Description BAYesian inference for MEDical designs in R. Functions for the computation of Bayes factors for common biomedical research designs. Implemented are functions to test the equivalence (equiv_bf), non-inferiority (infer_bf), and superiority (super_bf) of an experimental group compared to a control group on a continuous outcome measure, as well as functions for simulating survival data and calculating a Bayes factor for Cox proportional hazards models. Bayes factors for these tests can be computed based on raw data or summary statistics.

```
Depends R (= 3.4.0)
```
Imports doParallel, foreach, methods, parallel, pso, rms, stats, stringr, survival

Suggests knitr, rmarkdown, testthat

VignetteBuilder knitr

RoxygenNote 7.2.1

License GPL-3

Encoding UTF-8

Language en-US

URL <https://github.com/maxlinde/baymedr>

BugReports <https://github.com/maxlinde/baymedr/issues>

Repository https://maxlinde.r-universe.dev

RemoteUrl https://github.com/maxlinde/baymedr

RemoteRef HEAD

RemoteSha 804030128ed093cf09fbaeb52eb84a2cddc40110

Contents

Description

baymedr provides functions for the computation of Bayes factors for common biomedical research designs.

Details

Author(s)

Maintainer: Maximilian Linde – <maximilian.linde.92@gmail.com> Authors:

- Maximilian Linde (aut, cre)
- Don van Ravenzwaaij (aut)
- Jorge N. Tendeiro (aut)
- Quentin F. Gronau (ctb)

See Also

Useful links:

- <https://github.com/maxlinde/baymedr>
- Report bugs at <https://github.com/maxlinde/baymedr/issues>

Description

[coxph_bf](#page-2-1) computes a Bayes factor for Cox proportional hazards regression models with one dichotomous independent variable.

Usage

```
coxph_bf(
  data,
  null_value = 0,alternative = "two.sided",
  direction = NULL,
 prior_mean = 0,
 prior_sd = 1
)
```
Arguments

Details

The Cox proportional hazards model has the following hypotheses: The null hypothesis (i.e., H0) states that the population hazard ratio between the experimental (e.g., a new medication) and the control group (e.g., a placebo or an already existing medication) is equal to 1 (i.e., beta = 0). The alternative hypothesis can be two-sided or one-sided (either negative or positive).

Since the main goal of [coxph_bf](#page-2-1) is to establish that the hazard ratio is not equal to 1, the resulting Bayes factor quantifies evidence in favor of the alternative hypothesis. For a two-sided alternative hypothesis, we have BF10; for a negative one-sided alternative hypothesis, we have BF-0; and for a positive one-sided alternative hypothesis, we have BF+0. Evidence for the null hypothesis can easily be calculated by taking the reciprocal of the original Bayes factor (i.e., BF01 = 1 / BF10). Quantification of evidence in favor of the null hypothesis is logically sound and legitimate within the Bayesian framework (see e.g., van Ravenzwaaij et al., 2019).

For the calculation of the Bayes factor, a Normal prior density is chosen for beta under the alternative hypothesis. The arguments prior_mean and prior_sd specify the mean and standard deviation of the Normal prior, respectively. By adjusting the Normal prior, different ranges of expected effect sizes can be emphasized. The default is a Normal prior with a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1.

Note that at the moment the model specifications are limited. That is, it is only possible to have a single dichotomous independent variable. Further, at the moment only a Normal prior is supported. Lastly, only the Efron partial likelihood and not the many other options are supported.

[coxph_bf](#page-2-1) creates an S4 object of class [baymedrCoxProportionalHazards,](#page-16-1) which has multiple slots/entries (e.g., prior, Bayes factor, etc.; see Value). If it is desired to store or extract solely the Bayes factor, the user can do this with [get_bf](#page-10-1), by setting the S4 object as an argument (see Examples).

Value

An S4 object of class [baymedrCoxProportionalHazards](#page-16-1) is returned. Contained are a description of the model and the resulting Bayes factor:

- test: The type of analysis
- hypotheses: A statement of the hypotheses
	- h0: The null hypothesis
	- h1: The alternative hypothesis
- prior: The parameters of the Normal prior on beta
- bf: The resulting Bayes factor

A summary of the model is shown by printing the object.

References

Harrell, F. R. (2015). Regression modeling strategies: With applications to linear models, logistic regression, and survival analysis (2nd ed.). Springer.

van Ravenzwaaij, D., Monden, R., Tendeiro, J. N., & Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2019). Bayes factors for superiority, non-inferiority, and equivalence designs. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, *19*, 71.

See Also

[coxph_data_sim](#page-4-1).

Examples

```
# Load aml dataset from the survival R package.
data <- survival::aml
data$x <- ifelse(test = data$x == "Maintained",
                yes = 0,no = 1)
```
coxph_data_sim 5

```
names(data) <- c("time", "event", "group")
# Assign model to variable.
cosh_mod \leq -\cosh_bf(data) = \text{data},
                      null_value = 0,alternative = "one.sided",
                      direction = "high",
                      prior_mean = 0,prior_sd = 1# Extract Bayes factor from variable.
get_bf(coxph_mod)
```
coxph_data_sim *Simulate data for Cox proportional hazards regression*

Description

[coxph_data_sim](#page-4-1) simulates data for Cox proportional hazards regression models with one dichotomous independent variable based on summary statistics.

Usage

```
coxph_data_sim(
  n\_data = 1,
 ns_c,
 ns_e,
 ne_c,
 ne_e,
  cox_hr,
 cox_hr_ci\_level = 0.95,
 max_t = 100,
 cores = 1,
  ...
)
```
Arguments

Details

Particle swarm optimization, as implemented by [psoptim](#page-0-0) is used to simulate one or multiple datasets that match certain summary statistics. The algorithm uses as many parameters as there cases in the dataset that is to be simulated. Therefore, using [coxph_data_sim](#page-4-1) becomes more timeconsuming the larger the sample size.

The relevant summary statistics that are used in the optimization process are:

- cox_hr
	- Hazard ratio between the experimental and control conditions based on a Cox proportional hazards regression model.
	- Lower boundary of the x of the hazard ratio between the experimental and control conditions based on a Cox proportional hazards regression model.
	- Upper boundary of the x confidence interval of the hazard ratio between the experimental and control conditions based on a Cox proportional hazards regression model.

[coxph_data_sim](#page-4-1) creates a list with as many elements as specified by the argument n_data. Each element consists of a list that entails the resulting simulated data and the optimization results of the data simulation process.

Value

A list of length n_data is returned. Each element of that list contains one simulated dataset and information about the optimization process:

- data: A data.frame containing the following columns:
	- time: Survival/censoring times.
	- event: Indication of whether an event happened (1) or not (0).
	- group: Indication of whether case belongs to control condition (0) or experimental condition (1) .

equiv_bf 7

• optim: Results of particle swarm optimization. See the Value section in [psoptim](#page-0-0)

References

.

Harrell, F. R. (2015). Regression modeling strategies: Withapplications to linear models, logistic regression, and survival analysis (2nd ed.). Springer.

Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of ICNN'95 - International Conference on Neural Networks*, *4*, 1942-1948.

Shi, Y., & Eberhart, R. (1998). A modified particle swarm optimizer. *1998 IEEE International Conference on Evolutionary Computation Proceedings. IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence*, 69-73.

See Also

[coxph_bf](#page-2-1) and [psoptim](#page-0-0).

Examples

```
# Pretend we extracted the following summary statistics from an article.
ns_c <- 20
ns_e <- 56
ne_c < -18ne_e <- 40
cox_hr <- c(0.433, 0.242, 0.774)
cox_hr_ci_level <- 0.95
# We want to simulate 3 datasets. We do not need a very precise match of the
# summary statistics to the real summary statistics. Therefore, for
# demonstration purposes we only use 1/200 of the default number of
# optimization iterations (i.e., (1 / 200) * 5000).
sim_data <- coxph_data_sim(n_data = 3,
                           ns_c = ns_c,
                           ns_e = ns_e,
                           ne_c = ne_c,
                           ne_e = ne_e,
                           \cos_h r = \cos_h r,
                           cox_hr_ci_level = cox_hr_ci_level,
                           max_t = 100,
                           maxit = 25
```
equiv_bf *Bayes factor for equivalence designs*

Description

[equiv_bf](#page-6-1) computes a Bayes factor for equivalence designs with a continuous dependent variable.

Usage

```
equiv_bf(
 x = NULL,y = NULL,n_x = NULL,
 n_y = NULL,
 mean_x = NULL,mean_y = NULL,sd_x = NULL,sd_y = NULL,ci_margin = NULL,
 ci_level = NULL,
 interval = 0,
 interval_std = TRUE,
 prior_scale = 1/sqrt(2)
)
```
Arguments

Details

The equivalence design has the following hypotheses: The null hypothesis (i.e., H0) states that the population means of the experimental group (e.g., a new medication) and the control group (e.g., a placebo or an already existing medication) are (practically) equivalent; the alternative hypothesis (i.e., H1) states that the population means of the two groups are not equivalent. The dependent variable must be continuous.

Since the main goal of [equiv_bf](#page-6-1) is to establish equivalence, the resulting Bayes factor quantifies evidence in favor of the null hypothesis (i.e., BF01). Evidence for the alternative hypothesis can easily be calculated by taking the reciprocal of the original Bayes factor (i.e., $BF10 = 1 / BF01$). Quantification of evidence in favor of the null hypothesis is logically sound and legitimate within the Bayesian framework (see e.g., van Ravenzwaaij et al., 2019).

[equiv_bf](#page-6-1) can be utilized to calculate a Bayes factor based on raw data (i.e., if arguments x and y are defined) or summary statistics (i.e., if arguments n_x, n_y, mean_x, and mean_y are defined). In the latter case, either values for the arguments sd_{x} and sd_{y} OR ci_margin and ci_level can be supplied. Arguments with 'x' as a name or suffix correspond to the control group, whereas arguments with 'y' as a name or suffix correspond to the experimental group.

The equivalence interval can be specified with the argument interval. However, it is not compulsory to specify an equivalence interval (see van Ravenzwaaij et al., 2019). The default value of the argument interval is 0, indicating a point null hypothesis. If an interval is preferred, the argument interval can be set in two ways: A *symmetric* interval can be defined by either specifying a numeric vector of length one (e.g., 0.1, which is converted to $c(-0.1, 0.1)$) or a numeric vector of length two $(e.g., c(-0.1, 0.1))$; an *asymmetric* interval can be defined by specifying a numeric vector of length two (e.g., $c(-0.1, 0.2)$). It can be specified whether the equivalence interval (i.e., interval) is given in standardized or unstandardized units with the interval_std argument, where TRUE, corresponding to standardized units, is the default.

For the calculation of the Bayes factor, a Cauchy prior density centered on 0 is chosen for the effect size under the alternative hypothesis. The standard Cauchy distribution, with a location parameter of 0 and a scale parameter of 1, resembles a standard Normal distribution, except that the Cauchy distribution has less mass at the center but heavier tails (Liang et al., 2008; Rouder et al., 2009). The argument prior_scale specifies the width of the Cauchy prior, which corresponds to half of the interquartile range. Thus, by adjusting the Cauchy prior scale with prior_scale, different ranges of expected effect sizes can be emphasized. The default prior scale is set to $r = 1 / \sqrt{2}$.

[equiv_bf](#page-6-1) creates an S4 object of class [baymedrEquivalence,](#page-16-1) which has multiple slots/entries (e.g., type of data, prior scale, Bayes factor, etc.; see Value). If it is desired to store or extract solely

the Bayes factor, the user can do this with [get_bf](#page-10-1), by setting the S4 object as an argument (see Examples).

Value

An S4 object of class [baymedrEquivalence](#page-16-1) is returned. Contained are a description of the model and the resulting Bayes factor:

- test: The type of analysis
- hypotheses: A statement of the hypotheses
	- h0: The null hypothesis
	- h1: The alternative hypothesis
- interval: Specification of the equivalence interval in standardized and unstandardized units
	- lower_std: The standardized lower boundary of the equivalence interval
	- upper_std: The standardized upper boundary of the equivalence interval
	- lower_unstd: The unstandardized lower boundary of the equivalence interval
	- upper_unstd: The unstandardized upper boundary of the equivalence interval
- data: A description of the data
	- type: The type of data ('raw' when arguments x and y are used or 'summary' when arguments n_x, n_y, mean_x, mean_y, sd_x, and sd_y (or ci_margin and ci_level instead of sd_x and sd_y) are used)
	- ...: values for the arguments used, depending on 'raw' or summary'
- prior_scale: The width of the Cauchy prior distribution
- bf: The resulting Bayes factor

A summary of the model is shown by printing the object.

References

Gronau, Q. F., Ly, A., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2020). Informed Bayesian t-tests. *The American Statistician*, *74*, 137-143.

Liang, F., Paulo, R., Molina, G., Clyde, M. A., & Berger, J. O. (2008). Mixtures of g priors for Bayesian variable selection. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *103*, 410-423.

Rouder, J. N., Speckman, P. L., Sun, D., Morey, R. D., & Iverson, G. (2009). Bayesian t tests for accepting and rejecting the null hypothesis. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *16*, 225-237.

van Ravenzwaaij, D., Monden, R., Tendeiro, J. N., & Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2019). Bayes factors for superiority, non-inferiority, and equivalence designs. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, *19*, 71.

Examples

```
## equiv_bf using raw data:
# Assign model to variable.
equiv_raw <- equiv_bf(x = rnorm(100, 10, 15),
                      y = rnorm(130, 13, 10))
```
 get_b 11

```
# Extract Bayes factor from variable.
get_bf(equiv_raw)
# ----------
# ----------
## equiv_bf using summary statistics with data from Steiner et al. (2015).
## With a point null hypothesis:
# Assign model to variable.
equiv_sum_point <- equiv_bf(n_x = 560,
                            n_y = 538,
                            mean_x = 8.683,mean_y = 8.516,
                            sd_x = 3.6,
                            sd_y = 3.6# Extract Bayes factor from model.
get_bf(equiv_sum_point)
# ----------
# ----------
## equiv_bf using summary statistics with data from Steiner et al. (2015).
## With an interval null hypothesis:
# Assign model to variable.
equiv_sum_interval <- equiv_bf(n_x = 560,
                               n_y = 538,
                               mean_x = 8.683,mean_y = 8.516,
                               sd_x = 3.6,
                               sd_y = 3.6,
                               interval = 0.05# Extract Bayes factor from model.
get_bf(equiv_sum_interval)
```
get_bf *Extract Bayes factor from S4 object*

Description

[get_bf](#page-10-1) extracts the Bayes factor from an S4 object (i.e., [baymedrSuperiority,](#page-16-1) [baymedrEquivalence,](#page-16-1) [baymedrNonInferiority\)](#page-16-1), [baymedrCoxProportionalHazards,](#page-16-1) and [baymedrCoxProportionalHazards-](#page-16-1)[Multi.](#page-16-1)

Usage

get_bf(object)

Arguments

object An S4 object of class [baymedrSuperiority,](#page-16-1) [baymedrEquivalence,](#page-16-1) [baymedrNon-](#page-16-1)[Inferiority,](#page-16-1) [baymedrCoxProportionalHazards,](#page-16-1) or [baymedrCoxProportionalHaz](#page-16-1)[ardsMulti.](#page-16-1)

Value

A numeric vector, providing the Bayes factor(s) from an S4 object.

Examples

```
# Extract Bayes factor from a baymedrSuperiority object using raw data:
mod_super <- super_bf(x = \text{norm}(100, 10, 15),
                      y = rnorm(130, 13, 10))
get_bf(object = mod_super)
# Extract Bayes factor from a baymedrEquivalence object using raw data:
mod_equiv <- equiv_bf(x = rnorm(100, 10, 15),
                      y = rnorm(130, 13, 10))
get_bf(object = mod_equiv)# Extract Bayes factor from a baymedrNonInferiority object using raw data:
mod_infer \le infer_bf(x = rnorm(100, 10, 15),
                      y = rnorm(130, 13, 10),
                      ni_margin = 1)
get_bf(object = mod_infer)
# Extract Bayes factor from a baymedrCoxProportionalHazards object:
data <- survival::aml
names(data) <- c("time", "event", "group")
data$group <- ifelse(test = data$group == "Maintained",
                     yes = 0,no = 1)mod_coxph <- coxph_bf(data = data)
get_bf(object = mod_coxph)
```
infer_bf *Bayes factor for non-inferiority designs*

Description

[infer_bf](#page-11-1) computes a Bayes factor for non-inferiority designs with a continuous dependent variable.

infer_bf 13

Usage

```
infer_bf(
 x = NULL,y = NULL,n_x = NULL,
 n_y = NULL,mean_x = NULL,mean_y = NULL,sd_x = NULL,sd_y = NULL,ci_margin = NULL,
 ci_level = NULL,
 ni_margin = NULL,
 ni_margin_std = TRUE,
 prior_scale = 1/sqrt(2),
 direction = "high"
\mathcal{L}
```
Arguments

Details

The formulation of the null and alternative hypotheses for the non-inferiority design differs depending on whether high or low scores on the dependent variable represent non-inferiority. In the case where high scores correspond to non-inferiority, the hypotheses are as follows: The null hypothesis states that the population mean of the experimental group (e.g., a new medication) is lower than the population mean of the control group (e.g., a placebo or an already existing medication) minus the non-inferiority margin. The alternative hypothesis states that the population mean of the experimental group is higher than the population mean of the control group minus the non-inferiority margin. Thus, the null hypothesis goes in the negative direction (i.e., H-) and the alternative hypothesis in the positive direction (i.e., H+). In turn, in the case where low scores correspond to non-inferiority, the hypotheses are as follows: The null hypothesis states that the population mean of the experimental group is higher than the population mean of the control group plus the non-inferiority margin. The alternative hypothesis states that the population mean of the experimental group is lower than the population mean of the control group plus the non-inferiority margin. Thus, the null hypothesis goes in the positive direction (i.e., H+) and the alternative hypothesis in the negative direction (i.e., H-). The dependent variable must be continuous.

Since the main goal of [infer_bf](#page-11-1) is to establish non-inferiority, the resulting Bayes factor quantifies evidence in favor of the alternative hypothesis. In the case where high values represent noninferiority we have BF+- and in the case where low values represent non-inferiority we have BF-+. Evidence for the null hypothesis can easily be calculated by taking the reciprocal of the original Bayes factor (i.e., $BF_{+} = 1 / BF_{+}$ and vice versa). Quantification of evidence in favor of the null hypothesis is logically sound and legitimate within the Bayesian framework (see e.g., van Ravenzwaaij et al., 2019).

[infer_bf](#page-11-1) can be utilized to calculate a Bayes factor based on raw data (i.e., if arguments x and y are defined) or summary statistics (i.e., if arguments n_x, n_y, mean_x, and mean_y (or ci_margin and ci_level instead of sd_x and sd_y) are defined). Arguments with 'x' as a name or suffix correspond to the control group, whereas arguments with 'y' as a name or suffix correspond to the experimental group.

Since sometimes high scores on the dependent variable are considered non-inferior (e.g., amount of social interactions) and sometimes rather the low scores (e.g., severity of symptoms), the direction of non-inferiority can be specified with the argument direction. For the case where high values on the dependent variable indicate non-inferiority, 'high' (the default) should be specified for the argument direction; if low values on the dependent variable indicate non-inferiority, 'low' should be specified for the argument direction.

infer_bf 15

With the argument ni_margin, the non-inferiority margin can be specified. ni_margin should be a positive number.' It can be declared whether the non-inferiority margin is specified in standardized or unstandardized units with the ni_margin_std argument, where TRUE, corresponding to standardized units, is the default.

For the calculation of the Bayes factor, a Cauchy prior density centered on 0 is chosen for the effect size under the alternative hypothesis. The standard Cauchy distribution, with a location parameter of 0 and a scale parameter of 1, resembles a standard Normal distribution, except that the Cauchy distribution has less mass at the center but heavier tails (Liang et al., 2008; Rouder et al., 2009). The argument prior_scale specifies the width of the Cauchy prior, which corresponds to half of the interquartile range. Thus, by adjusting the Cauchy prior scale with prior_scale, different ranges of expected effect sizes can be emphasized. The default prior scale is set to $r = 1 / \sqrt{\sqrt{3}}$.

[infer_bf](#page-11-1) creates an S4 object of class [baymedrNonInferiority,](#page-16-1) which has multiple slots/entries (e.g., type of data, prior scale, Bayes factor, etc.; see Value). If it is desired to store or extract solely the Bayes factor, the user can do this with [get_bf](#page-10-1), by setting the S4 object as an argument (see Examples).

Value

An S4 object of class [baymedrNonInferiority](#page-16-1) is returned. Contained are a description of the model and the resulting Bayes factor:

- test: The type of analysis
- hypotheses: A statement of the hypotheses
	- h0: The null hypothesis
	- h1: The alternative hypothesis
- ni_margin: The value for ni_margin in standardized and unstandardized units
	- ni_mar_std: The standardized non-inferiority margin
	- ni_mar_unstd: The unstandardized non-inferiority margin
- data: A description of the data
	- type: The type of data ('raw' when arguments x and y are used or 'summary' when arguments n_x, n_y, mean_x, mean_y, sd_x, and sd_y (or ci_margin and ci_level instead of sd_x and sd_y) are used)
	- ...: values for the arguments used, depending on 'raw' or summary'
- prior scale: The width of the Cauchy prior distribution
- bf: The resulting Bayes factor

A summary of the model is shown by printing the object.

References

Gronau, Q. F., Ly, A., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2020). Informed Bayesian t-tests. *The American Statistician*, *74*, 137-143.

Liang, F., Paulo, R., Molina, G., Clyde, M. A., & Berger, J. O. (2008). Mixtures of g priors for Bayesian variable selection. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *103*, 410-423.

Rouder, J. N., Speckman, P. L., Sun, D., Morey, R. D., & Iverson, G. (2009). Bayesian t tests for accepting and rejecting the null hypothesis. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *16*, 225-237.

van Ravenzwaaij, D., Monden, R., Tendeiro, J. N., & Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2019). Bayes factors for superiority, non-inferiority, and equivalence designs. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, *19*, 71.

Examples

```
## infer_bf using raw data:
# Assign model to variable.
infer_{raw} < - infer_{bf}(x = rnorm(100, 10, 15)),
                       y = rnorm(130, 13, 10),
                      ni\_margin = 1.5,
                      ni_margin_std = FALSE)
# Extract Bayes factor from model.
get_bf(infer_raw)
# ----------
# ----------
## infer_bf using summary statistics with data from Andersson et al. (2013).
## Test at timepoint 1:
# Assign model to variable.
infer\_sum_t1 \leftarrow infer_bf(n_x = 33,n_y = 32,
                          mean_x = 17.1,
                          mean_y = 13.6,
                          sd_x = 8,
                          sd_{-V} = 9.8,
                          ni_margin = 2,
                          ni_margin_std = FALSE,
                          direction = "low")
# Extract Bayes factor from model
get_bf(infer_sum_t1)
# ----------
# ----------
## infer_bf using summary statistics with data from Andersson et al. (2013).
## Test at timepoint 2:
# Assign model to variable.
infer\_sum_t2 \leftarrow infer_bf(n_x = 30,n_y = 32,
                          mean_x = 13.5,
                          mean_y = 9.2sd_x = 8.7,
                          sd_y = 7.6,
                          ni_margin = 2,
                          ni_margin_std = FALSE,
                          direction = "low")
```
model-classes 17

Extract Bayes factor from model get_bf(infer_sum_t2)

Description

The S4 classes [baymedrSuperiority,](#page-16-1) [baymedrEquivalence,](#page-16-1) [baymedrNonInferiority,](#page-16-1) [baymedrCox-](#page-16-1)[ProportionalHazards,](#page-16-1) and [baymedrCoxProportionalHazardsMulti](#page-16-1) represent models for the superiority ([super_bf](#page-16-2)), equivalence ([equiv_bf](#page-6-1)), non-inferiority ([infer_bf](#page-11-1)), and Cox proportional hazards ([coxph_bf](#page-2-1)) models, respectively.

Slots

test Type of test that was conducted.

hypotheses The hypotheses that are tested.

data The type of data that was used.

prior_scale The Cauchy prior scale that was used.

bf The resulting Bayes factor.

interval The equivalence interval in case of [equiv_bf](#page-6-1).

ni_margin The non-inferiority margin in case of [infer_bf](#page-11-1).

prior The mean and standard deviation of the Normal prior in case of [coxph_bf](#page-2-1).

super_bf *Bayes factor for superiority designs*

Description

[super_bf](#page-16-2) computes a Bayes factor for superiority designs with a continuous dependent variable.

Usage

```
super_bf(
 x = NULL,y = NULL,n_x = NULL,
 n_y = NULL,mean_x = NULL,mean_y = NULL,sd_x = NULL,sd_v = NULL,
 ci_margin = NULL,
 ci_level = NULL,
 prior_scale = 1/sqrt(2),
 direction = "high"
)
```
Arguments

Details

The formulation of the null and alternative hypotheses for the superiority design differs depending on whether high or low scores on the dependent variable represent superiority. In both cases, the null hypothesis (i.e., H0) states that the population means of the experimental group and the control group are equivalent. In the case where high scores correspond to superiority, the alternative hypothesis states that the population mean of the experimental group is higher than the population mean of the control group. Thus, the alternative hypothesis goes in the positive direction (i.e., H+). In turn, in the case where low scores correspond to superiority, the alternative hypothesis states that the population mean of the experimental group is lower than the population mean of the control group. Thus, the alternative hypothesis goes in the negative direction (i.e., H-). The dependent variable must be continuous.

super_bf 19

Since the main goal of [super_bf](#page-16-2) is to establish superiority, the resulting Bayes factor quantifies evidence in favor of the alternative hypothesis. In the case where low values represent superiority we have BF-0, whereas in the case where high values represent superiority we have BF+0. Evidence for the null hypothesis can easily be calculated by taking the reciprocal of the original Bayes factor (i.e., $BF0- = 1 / BF-0$ and $BF0+ = 1 / BF+0$). Quantification of evidence in favor of the null hypothesis is logically sound and legitimate within the Bayesian framework (see e.g., van Ravenzwaaij et al., 2019).

[super_bf](#page-16-2) can be utilized to calculate a Bayes factor based on raw data (i.e., if arguments x and y are defined) or summary statistics (i.e., if arguments n_x , n_y , mean_{-x}, and mean_{-y} are defined). In the latter case, the user has the freedom to supply values either for the arguments sd_x and sd_y OR ci_margin and ci_level. Arguments with 'x' as a name or suffix correspond to the control group, whereas arguments with 'y' as a name or suffix correspond to the experimental group (i.e., the group for which we seek to establish superiority).

For the calculation of the Bayes factor, a Cauchy prior density centered on 0 is chosen for the effect size under the alternative hypothesis. The standard Cauchy distribution, with a location parameter of 0 and a scale parameter of 1, resembles a standard Normal distribution, except that the Cauchy distribution has less mass at the center but heavier tails (Liang et al., 2008; Rouder et al., 2009). The argument prior_scale specifies the width of the Cauchy prior, which corresponds to half of the interquartile range. Thus, by adjusting the Cauchy prior scale with prior_scale, different ranges of expected effect sizes can be emphasized. The default prior scale is set to $r = 1 / \sqrt{\sqrt{3}}$.

[super_bf](#page-16-2) creates an S4 object of class [baymedrSuperiority,](#page-16-1) which has multiple slots/entries (e.g., type of data, prior scale, Bayes factor, etc.; see Value). If it is desired to store or extract solely the Bayes factor, the user can do this with [get_bf](#page-10-1), by setting the S4 object as an argument (see Examples).

Value

An S4 object of class [baymedrSuperiority](#page-16-1) is returned. Contained are a description of the model and the resulting Bayes factor:

- test: The type of analysis
- hypotheses: A statement of the hypotheses
	- h0: The null hypothesis
	- h1: The alternative hypothesis
- data: A description of the data
	- type: The type of data ('raw' when arguments x and y are used or 'summary' when arguments n_x, n_y, mean_x, mean_y, sd_x, and sd_y (or ci_margin and ci_level instead of sd_x and sd_y) are used)
	- ...: values for the arguments used, depending on 'raw' or 'summary'
- prior_scale: The scale of the Cauchy prior distribution
- bf: The resulting Bayes factor

A summary of the model is shown by printing the object.

References

Gronau, Q. F., Ly, A., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2020). Informed Bayesian t-tests. *The American Statistician*, *74*, 137-143.

Liang, F., Paulo, R., Molina, G., Clyde, M. A., & Berger, J. O. (2008). Mixtures of g priors for Bayesian variable selection. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *103*, 410-423.

Rouder, J. N., Speckman, P. L., Sun, D., Morey, R. D., & Iverson, G. (2009). Bayesian t tests for accepting and rejecting the null hypothesis. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *16*, 225-237.

van Ravenzwaaij, D., Monden, R., Tendeiro, J. N., & Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2019). Bayes factors for superiority, non-inferiority, and equivalence designs. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, *19*, 71.

Examples

```
## super_bf using raw data:
```

```
# Assign model to variable.
super_raw <- super_bf(x = rnorm(100, 10, 15),
                      y = rnorm(130, 13, 10))
# Extract Bayes factor from model.
get_bf(super_raw)
# ----------
# ----------
## super_bf using summary statistics with data from Skjerven et al. (2013).
## EXAMPLE 1
# Assign model to variable.
super\_sum\_ex1 < - super_bf(n_x = 201,n_y = 203,
                          mean_x = 68.1,
                          mean_y = 63.6,
                          ci_margin = (15.5 - (-6.5)) / 2,ci level = 0.95,
                          direction = "low")
# Extract Bayes factor from model.
get_bf(super_sum_ex1)
# ----------
## super_bf using summary statistics with data from Skjerven et al. (2013).
## EXAMPLE 2
# Assign model to variable.
super\_sum\_ex2 < - super_bf(n_x = 200,n_y = 204,
                          mean_x = 47.6,
                          mean_y = 61.3,
```
 $ci_margin = (24.4 - 2.9) / 2,$

 $super_bf$ 21

 ci ^{$= 0.95$}, direction = "low")

Extract Bayes factor from model. get_bf(super_sum_ex2)

Index

```
baymedr (baymedr-package), 2
baymedr-package, 2
baymedrCoxProportionalHazards, 4, 11, 12,
        17
baymedrCoxProportionalHazards-class
        (model-classes), 17
baymedrCoxProportionalHazardsMulti, 11,
        12, 17
baymedrCoxProportionalHazardsMulti-class
        (model-classes), 17
baymedrEquivalence, 9–12, 17
baymedrEquivalence-class
        (model-classes), 17
baymedrNonInferiority, 11, 12, 15, 17
baymedrNonInferiority-class
        (model-classes), 17
baymedrSuperiority, 11, 12, 17, 19
baymedrSuperiority-class
        (model-classes), 17
```
coxph_bf, *[3](#page-2-0)*, [3,](#page-2-0) *[4](#page-3-0)*, *[7](#page-6-0)*, *[17](#page-16-0)* coxph_data_sim, *[4,](#page-3-0) [5](#page-4-0)*, [5,](#page-4-0) *[6](#page-5-0)* equiv_bf, *[7](#page-6-0)*, [7,](#page-6-0) *[9](#page-8-0)*, *[17](#page-16-0)* get_bf, *[4](#page-3-0)*, *[10,](#page-9-0) [11](#page-10-0)*, [11,](#page-10-0) *[15](#page-14-0)*, *[19](#page-18-0)* infer_bf, *[12](#page-11-0)*, [12,](#page-11-0) *[14,](#page-13-0) [15](#page-14-0)*, *[17](#page-16-0)* model-classes, [17](#page-16-0) psoptim, *[6,](#page-5-0) [7](#page-6-0)* super_bf, *[17](#page-16-0)*, [17,](#page-16-0) *[19](#page-18-0)*